

Decay in Time for a One Dimensional Two Component Plasma

Robert Glassey
Stephen Pankavich
Jack Schaeffer

February 26, 2010

Mathematics Subject Classification : 35L60, 35Q99, 82C21, 82C22, 82D10.

Abstract

The motion of a collisionless plasma is described by the Vlasov-Poisson system, or in the presence of large velocities, the relativistic Vlasov-Poisson system. Both systems are considered in one space and one momentum dimension, with two species of oppositely charged particles. A new identity is derived for both systems and is used to study the behavior of solutions for large times.

1 Introduction

Consider the Vlasov-Poisson system (which we shall abbreviate as “VP”):

$$(1.1) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \partial_t f + v \partial_x f + E(t, x) \partial_v f = 0, \\ \partial_t g + \frac{v}{m} \partial_x g - E(t, x) \partial_v g = 0, \\ \rho(t, x) = \int (f(t, x, v) - g(t, x, v)) dv, \\ E(t, x) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\int_{-\infty}^x \rho(t, y) dy - \int_x^{\infty} \rho(t, y) dy \right). \end{array} \right.$$

Here $t \geq 0$ is time, $x \in \mathbb{R}$ is position, $v \in \mathbb{R}$ is momentum, f is the number density in phase space of particles with mass one and positive unit charge, and g is the number density of particles with mass $m > 0$ and negative unit charge. The effect of collisions is neglected. The initial conditions

$$f(0, x, v) = f_0(x, v) \geq 0$$

and

$$g(0, x, v) = g_0(x, v) \geq 0$$

for $(x, v) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ are given where it is assumed that $f_0, g_0 \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ are nonnegative, compactly supported, and satisfy the neutrality condition

$$(1.2) \quad \iint f_0 dv dx = \iint g_0 dv dx.$$

Using the notation

$$\hat{v}_m = \frac{v}{\sqrt{m^2 + v^2}},$$

the relativistic Vlasov-Poisson system (abbreviated “RVP”) is

$$(1.3) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \partial_t f + \hat{v}_1 \partial_x f + E \partial_v f = 0, \\ \partial_t g + \hat{v}_m \partial_x g - E \partial_v g = 0, \\ \rho(t, x) = \int (f - g) dv, \\ E(t, x) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\int_{-\infty}^x \rho dy - \int_x^{\infty} \rho dy \right). \end{array} \right.$$

It is well known that solutions of (1.1) and (1.3) remain smooth for all $t \geq 0$ with $f(t, \cdot, \cdot)$ and $g(t, \cdot, \cdot)$ compactly supported for all $t \geq 0$. In fact, this is known for the three-dimensional version of (1.1) ([8],[10]), but not for the three-dimensional version of (1.3). The literature regarding large time behavior of solutions is quite limited. Some time decay is known for the three-dimensional analogue of (1.1) ([6], [7], [9]). Also, there are time decay results for (1.1) (in dimension one) when the plasma is monocharged (set $g \equiv 0$) ([1], [2], [11]). In the work that follows, two species of particles with opposite charge are considered, thus the methods used in [1], [2], and [11] do not apply. References [3], [4], and [5] are also mentioned since they deal with time-dependent rescalings and time decay for other kinetic equations.

In the next section an identity is derived for (1.1) that shows certain positive quantities are integrable in t on the interval $[0, \infty)$. The identity is modified to address (1.3) also, but the results are weaker. These identities seem to be linked to the one-dimensional situation and do not readily generalize to higher dimension. Additionally, it is not clear if there is an extension which allows for more than two species of particles. However, since this model allows for attractive forces between ions of differing species, it is sensible to expect that additional species of ions will only strengthen repulsive forces and cause solutions to decay faster in time. In Section 3, the L^4 integrability of both the positive and negative charge is derived and used to show time decay of the local charge. Finally, in Section 4, the main identity and L^4 integrability will be used to show decay in time of the electric field for both (1.1) and (1.3).

2 The Identity

The basic identities for (1.1) and (1.3) will be derived in this section. The following theorem lists their main consequences :

Theorem 2.1 *Assume that f_0 and g_0 are nonnegative, compactly supported, C^1 , and satisfy (1.2). Then, for a solution of (1.1), there exists $C > 0$ depending only on f_0, g_0 , and m such that*

$$\int_0^\infty \iiint f(t, x, w) f(t, x, v) (w - v)^2 dw dv dx dt \leq C,$$

$$\int_0^\infty \iiint g(t, x, w)g(t, x, v)(w - v)^2 \, dw \, dv \, dx \, dt \leq C,$$

and

$$\int_0^\infty \int E^2 \int (f + g) \, dv \, dx \, dt \leq C.$$

For a solution of (1.3) there is $C > 0$ depending only on f_0, g_0 , and m such that

$$\int_0^\infty \iiint f(t, x, w)f(t, x, v)(w - v)(\hat{w}_1 - \hat{v}_1) \, dw \, dv \, dx \, dt \leq C,$$

$$\int_0^\infty \iiint g(t, x, w)g(t, x, v)(w - v)(\hat{w}_m - \hat{v}_m) \, dw \, dv \, dx \, dt \leq C,$$

and

$$\int_0^\infty \int E^2 \int (f + g) \, dv \, dx \, dt \leq C.$$

Moreover, $(w - v)(\hat{w}_m - \hat{v}_m) \geq 0$ for all $w, v \in \mathbb{R}$, $m > 0$.

Proof: Suppose

$$(2.1) \quad \partial_t a + \omega(v)\partial_x a + B(t, x)\partial_v a = 0$$

where $a(t, x, v), \omega(v), B(t, x)$ are C^1 , and $a(t, \cdot, \cdot)$ is compactly supported for each $t \geq 0$. Let

$$A(t, x) = \int a \, dv$$

and

$$\mathcal{A}(t, x) = \int_{-\infty}^x A(t, y) \, dy.$$

Note that $\partial_x \mathcal{A} = A$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \mathcal{A} &= - \int_{-\infty}^x \int (\omega(v)\partial_y a(t, y, v) + B(t, y)\partial_v a(t, y, v)) \, dy \, dv \\ &= - \int \omega(v)a(t, x, v) \, dv. \end{aligned}$$

By (2.1) it follows that

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &= \mathcal{A}(t, x) \int v (\partial_t a + \omega(v)\partial_x a + B(t, x)\partial_v a) \, dv \\ &=: I + II + III. \end{aligned}$$

Then,

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} I &= \partial_t \left(\mathcal{A} \int av \, dv \right) - (\partial_t \mathcal{A}) \int av \, dv \\ &= \partial_t \left(\mathcal{A} \int av \, dv \right) + \left(\int av \, dv \right) \left(\int a\omega(v) \, dv \right), \end{aligned}$$

$$(2.4) \quad II = \partial_x \left(\mathcal{A} \int av\omega(v) \, dv \right) - A \int av\omega(v) \, dv,$$

and

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{aligned} III &= -\mathcal{A}B \int a \, dv \\ &= -\mathcal{A}BA \\ &= -B\partial_x \left(\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{A}^2 \right) \\ &= -\partial_x \left(\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{A}^2 B \right) + \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{A}^2 \partial_x B. \end{aligned}$$

Using (2.3), (2.4), and (2.5) in (2.2) we get

$$(2.6) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &= \partial_t \left(\mathcal{A} \int av \, dv \right) + \partial_x \left(\mathcal{A} \int av\omega(v) \, dv - \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{A}^2 B \right) \\ &\quad + \left(\int av \, dv \right) \left(\int a\omega(v) \, dv \right) - A \int av\omega(v) \, dv + \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{A}^2 \partial_x B. \end{aligned}$$

Next consider (1.1) and let

$$F(t, x) := \int f \, dv, \quad G(t, x) := \int g \, dv,$$

and

$$\mathcal{F}(t, x) := \int_{-\infty}^x F(t, y) \, dy, \quad \mathcal{G} := \int_{-\infty}^x G(t, y) \, dy.$$

Applying (2.6) twice, once with $a = f$, $\omega(v) = v$ and $B = E$, and once with $a = g$, $\omega(v) = \frac{v}{m}$, and $B = -E$, and adding the results we find

$$(2.7) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &= \partial_t \left(\mathcal{F} \int fv \, dv + \mathcal{G} \int gv \, dv \right) + \partial_x \left(\mathcal{F} \int fv^2 \, dv + m^{-1}\mathcal{G} \int gv^2 \, dv \right) \\ &\quad - \partial_x \left(\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{F}^2 E - \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{G}^2 E \right) + \left(\int fv \, dv \right)^2 + m^{-1} \left(\int gv \, dv \right)^2 \\ &\quad - F \int fv^2 \, dv - m^{-1}G \int gv^2 \, dv + \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{F}^2 \rho - \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{G}^2 \rho. \end{aligned}$$

It follows directly from (1.1) and (1.2) that

$$\int \rho(t, x) \, dx = \int \rho(0, x) \, dx = 0$$

and hence that $E \rightarrow 0$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$. In addition,

$$E = \mathcal{F} - \mathcal{G}.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
\int (\mathcal{F}^2 - \mathcal{G}^2) \rho \, dx &= \int (\mathcal{F} + \mathcal{G}) E \, \partial_x E \, dx \\
&= -\frac{1}{2} \int \partial_x (\mathcal{F} + \mathcal{G}) E^2 \, dx \\
&= -\frac{1}{2} \int (F + G) E^2 \, dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Integration of (2.7) in x yields

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.8) \quad 0 &= \frac{d}{dt} \left(\int \mathcal{F} \int f v \, dv \, dx + \int \mathcal{G} \int g v \, dv \, dx \right) \\
&+ \int \left[\left(\int f v \, dv \right)^2 - F \int f v^2 \, dv + m^{-1} \left(\left(\int g v \, dv \right)^2 - G \int g v^2 \, dv \right) \right] dx \\
&- \frac{1}{4} \int (F + G) E^2 \, dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Notice that exchanging w and v we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
-\left(\int f v \, dv \right)^2 + F \int f v^2 \, dv &= \left(\int f(t, x, w) \, dw \right) \left(\int f(t, x, v) v^2 \, dv \right) \\
&- \left(\int f(t, x, w) w \, dw \right) \left(\int f(t, x, v) v \, dv \right) \\
&= \iint f(t, x, w) f(t, x, v) \left(\frac{1}{2} w^2 + \frac{1}{2} v^2 - wv \right) dw \, dv \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \iint f(t, x, w) f(t, x, v) (w - v)^2 dw \, dv
\end{aligned}$$

and similarly for g . Thus, (2.8) yields

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.9) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \left(\int \mathcal{F} \int f v \, dv \, dx + \int \mathcal{G} \int g v \, dv \, dx \right) &= \frac{1}{2} \iiint f(t, x, w) f(t, x, v) (w - v)^2 dw \, dv \, dx \\
&+ \frac{1}{2} m^{-1} \iiint g(t, x, w) g(t, x, v) (w - v)^2 dw \, dv \, dx \\
&+ \frac{1}{4} \int (F + G) E^2 \, dx \\
&\geq 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Consider the energy

$$\iint (f + m^{-1}g) v^2 \, dv \, dx + \int E^2 \, dx.$$

Note that due to (1.2), $E(t, \cdot)$ is compactly supported and $\int E^2 \, dx$ is finite (this would fail without (1.2)). It is standard to show that the energy is constant in t . Similarly

$\iint f \, dv \, dx = \iint g \, dv \, dx$ is constant and $f, g \geq 0$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int \mathcal{F} \int f v \, dv \, dx \right| &\leq C \iint f |v| \, dv \, dx \\ &\leq C \left(\iint f \, dv \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\iint f v^2 \, dv \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq C \end{aligned}$$

and similarly for g . Now it follows from (2.9) that

$$(2.10) \quad \int_0^\infty \iiint (f(t, x, w)f(t, x, v) + g(t, x, w)g(t, x, v)) (w - v)^2 \, dw \, dv \, dx \, dt \leq C$$

and

$$(2.11) \quad \int_0^\infty \int (F + G)E^2 \, dx \, dt \leq C.$$

Next consider (1.3). Applying (2.6) twice, once with $a = f$, $\omega(v) = \hat{v}_1$, $B = E$ and once with $a = g$, $\omega(v) = \hat{v}_m$, $B = -E$, and adding the results we get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \partial_t \left(\mathcal{F} \int f v \, dv + \mathcal{G} \int g v \, dv \right) + \partial_x \left(\mathcal{F} \int f v \hat{v}_1 \, dv + \mathcal{G} \int g v \hat{v}_m \, dv \right) \\ &\quad - \partial_x \left(\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{F}^2 E - \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{G}^2 E \right) + \left(\int f v \, dv \right) \left(\int f \hat{v}_1 \, dv \right) + \left(\int g v \, dv \right) \left(\int g \hat{v}_m \, dv \right) \\ &\quad - F \int f v \hat{v}_1 \, dv - G \int g v \hat{v}_m \, dv + \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{F}^2 \rho - \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{G}^2 \rho. \end{aligned}$$

Proceeding as before we obtain the result

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \frac{d}{dt} \left(\int \mathcal{F} \int f v \, dv \, dx + \int \mathcal{G} \int g v \, dv \, dx \right) \\ &\quad + \int \left[\left(\int f v \, dv \right) \left(\int f \hat{v}_1 \, dv \right) - F \int f v \hat{v}_1 \, dv \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left(\int g v \, dv \right) \left(\int g \hat{v}_m \, dv \right) - G \int g v \hat{v}_m \, dv \right] \, dx \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{4} \int (F + G)E^2 \, dx. \end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} &\quad - \left(\int f v \, dv \right) \left(\int f \hat{v}_1 \, dv \right) + F \int f v \hat{v}_1 \, dv \\ &= \left(\int f(t, x, w) \, dw \right) \left(\int f(t, x, v) v \hat{v}_1 \, dv \right) - \left(\int f(t, x, w) w \, dw \right) \left(\int f(t, x, v) \hat{v}_1 \, dv \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \iint f(t, x, w) f(t, x, v) (v \hat{v}_1 + w \hat{w}_1 - w \hat{v}_1 - v \hat{w}_1) \, dw \, dv \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \iint f(t, x, w) f(t, x, v) (w - v) (\hat{w}_1 - \hat{v}_1) \, dw \, dv. \end{aligned}$$

By the mean value theorem for any w and v , there is ξ between them such that

$$\hat{w}_1 - \hat{v}_1 = (1 + \xi^2)^{-\frac{3}{2}}(w - v)$$

and hence

$$(2.13) \quad (w - v)(\hat{w}_1 - \hat{v}_1) = (1 + \xi^2)^{-\frac{3}{2}}(w - v)^2 \geq 0.$$

Similar results hold for g . For solutions of (1.3)

$$\iint \left(f \sqrt{1 + |v|^2} + g \sqrt{m^2 + |v|^2} \right) dv dx + \frac{1}{2} \int E^2 dx = \text{const.}$$

and mass is conserved so

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int \mathcal{F} \int f v dv dx + \int \mathcal{G} \int g v dv dx \right| &\leq C \iint f |v| dv dx + C \iint g |v| dv dx \\ &\leq C. \end{aligned}$$

Hence it follows by integrating (2.12) in t that

$$\int_0^\infty \iiint f(t, x, w) f(t, x, v) (w - v) (\hat{w}_1 - \hat{v}_1) dw dv dx dt \leq C,$$

$$\int_0^\infty \iiint g(t, x, w) g(t, x, v) (w - v) (\hat{w}_m - \hat{v}_m) dw dv dx dt \leq C,$$

and

$$\int_0^\infty \int (F + G) E^2 dx dt \leq C.$$

Theorem 2.1 now follows. □

3 Decay Estimates

In this section we will derive some consequences of the identity from the previous section. We begin by taking $m = 1$ and defining $\hat{v} := \hat{v}_m = \hat{v}_1$. Consider solutions to either the system (1.1) or the system (1.3), and define as above

$$F(t, x) = \int f(t, x, v) dv, \quad G(t, x) = \int g(t, x, v) dv.$$

Theorem 3.1 *Let f, g satisfy the VP system (1.1). Assume that the data functions f_0, g_0 satisfy the hypotheses of Theorem 2.1. Then*

$$\int_0^\infty \int F^4(t, x) dx dt < \infty$$

and

$$\int_0^\infty \int G^4(t, x) dx dt < \infty.$$

When f, g satisfy the RVP system (1.3) and the data functions f_0, g_0 satisfy the hypotheses of Theorem 2.1 we have

$$\int_0^\infty \left(\int F(t, x)^{\frac{7}{4}} dx \right)^4 dt < \infty$$

with the same result valid for G .

Proof: Consider the classical case (1.1). By Theorem 2.1 we know that

$$k(t, x) := \iint (w - v)^2 f(t, x, v) f(t, x, w) dv dw$$

is integrable over all x, t . Next we partition the set of integration:

$$F(t, x)^2 = \iint f(t, x, v) f(t, x, w) dv dw = \int_{|v-w|<R} + \int_{|v-w|>R} =: I_1 + I_2.$$

Clearly we have $I_2 \leq R^{-2} k(t, x)$. In the integral for I_1 we write

$$\int_{|v-w|<R} f(t, x, w) dw = \int_{v-R}^{v+R} f(t, x, w) dw \leq 2 \|f_0\|_\infty R.$$

Thus

$$I_1 \leq c \cdot R \cdot F.$$

Set $RF = R^{-2} k$ or $R = k^{\frac{1}{3}} F^{-\frac{1}{3}}$. Then $F^4(t, x) \leq ck(t, x)$ so $F^4(t, x)$ is integrable over all x, t . The result for G is exactly the same.

Now we will find by a similar process the corresponding estimate for solutions to the relativistic version (1.3). To derive it we will use the estimate from (2.13), which implies that for $1 + |v| + |w| \leq S$, there is a constant $c > 0$ such that

$$(v - w)(\hat{v} - \hat{w}) \geq cS^{-3}|v - w|^2.$$

From Theorem 2.1 with $m = 1$ we know that

$$k_r(t, x) := \iint (v - w)(\hat{v} - \hat{w}) f(t, x, v) f(t, x, w) dv dw$$

is integrable over all x, t . Now write

$$F(t, x)^2 = \iint f(t, x, v) f(t, x, w) dv dw = \int_{(v-w)(\hat{v}-\hat{w})<R} + \int_{(v-w)(\hat{v}-\hat{w})>R} =: I_1 + I_2.$$

Clearly $I_2 \leq R^{-1} k_r(t, x)$. To estimate I_1 we partition it as

$$I_1 = \iint_{\substack{(v-w)(\hat{v}-\hat{w})<R \\ 1+|v|+|w|\leq S}} f(t, x, v) f(t, x, w) dv dw + \iint_{\substack{(v-w)(\hat{v}-\hat{w})<R \\ 1+|v|+|w|>S}} f(t, x, v) f(t, x, w) dv dw =: I'_1 + I''_1.$$

On I'_1 we have by the above estimate

$$R \geq (v - w)(\hat{v} - \hat{w}) \geq c|v - w|^2 S^{-3}.$$

Therefore on I'_1 we have $|v - w| < cR^{\frac{1}{2}}S^{\frac{3}{2}}$ so that

$$I'_1 \leq c \int f(t, x, v) \int_{v-cR^{\frac{1}{2}}S^{\frac{3}{2}}}^{v+cR^{\frac{1}{2}}S^{\frac{3}{2}}} f(t, x, w) dw dv \leq c \cdot F(t, x) \cdot R^{\frac{1}{2}}S^{\frac{3}{2}}.$$

I''_1 is more troublesome. By the energy and mass bounds,

$$I''_1 \leq S^{-1} \iint (1 + |v| + |w|) f(t, x, v) f(t, x, w) dv dw \leq cS^{-1} e(t, x) F(t, x)$$

where $e(t, x) = \int \sqrt{1 + v^2} f(t, x, v) dv$. Find S first by setting

$$F(t, x) \cdot R^{\frac{1}{2}}S^{\frac{3}{2}} = S^{-1} e(t, x) F(t, x),$$

that is,

$$S = e(t, x)^{\frac{2}{5}} R^{-\frac{1}{5}}.$$

Thus we get for I_1 the bound

$$I_1 \leq cS^{-1} e(t, x) F(t, x) = cF(t, x) R^{\frac{1}{5}} e(t, x)^{\frac{3}{5}}.$$

Above we had $I_2 \leq R^{-1} k_r(t, x)$. So now set

$$F(t, x) R^{\frac{1}{5}} e(t, x)^{\frac{3}{5}} = R^{-1} k_r(t, x)$$

to find R . The result is

$$R = k_r(t, x)^{\frac{5}{6}} F(t, x)^{-\frac{5}{6}} e(t, x)^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Finally then

$$F(t, x)^2 \leq cR^{-1} k_r(t, x) = ck_r(t, x)^{\frac{1}{6}} F(t, x)^{\frac{5}{6}} e(t, x)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

which is the same as $\frac{F(t, x)^7}{e(t, x)^3} \leq ck_r(t, x)$. At this point we may integrate in time to produce the result

$$(3.1) \quad \int_0^\infty \int \frac{(\int f(t, x, v) dv)^7}{(\int \sqrt{1 + v^2} f(t, x, v) dv)^3} dx dt < \infty.$$

Alternatively we can isolate $F(t, x)^7$ on the left side to find $F(t, x)^7 \leq ck_r(t, x) e(t, x)^3$. Then raise both sides to the $\frac{1}{4}$ th power, integrate in x and use Hölder's inequality. Hence we get the bound

$$\int F(t, x)^{\frac{7}{4}} dx \leq \left(\int k_r(t, x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \left(\int e(t, x) dx \right)^{\frac{3}{4}}.$$

We use the time-independent bound on $\int e(t, x) dx$ from conservation of energy to get the estimate

$$\int F(t, x)^{\frac{7}{4}} dx \leq C \left(\int k_r(t, x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{4}}.$$

Finally, we raise both sides to the 4th power and integrate in time to produce the result

$$(3.2) \quad \int_0^\infty \left(\int F(t, x)^{\frac{7}{4}} dx \right)^4 dt < \infty.$$

This is corresponding estimate for solutions to (1.3).

□

Now we will use these estimates to show that the local charges tend to 0 as $t \rightarrow \infty$ for solutions to both sets of equations.

Theorem 3.2 *Let f, g be solutions to either the classical VP system (1.1) or to the relativistic RVP system (1.3) for which the assumptions of Theorem 3.1 hold. Then for any fixed $R > 0$ the local charges satisfy*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{|x| < R} F(t, x) dx = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{|x| < R} G(t, x) dx = 0.$$

Proof: We begin with solutions to the classical equation (1.1). From above we know that

$$\int_0^\infty \int F^4(t, x) dx dt < \infty.$$

By the Hölder inequality

$$\int_{|x| < R} F(t, x) dx \leq \left(\int F^4(t, x) dx \right)^{1/4} (2R)^{3/4}$$

and therefore

$$(3.3) \quad \int_0^\infty \left[\int_{|x| < R} F(t, x) dx \right]^4 dt < \infty.$$

Now by the Vlasov equation for f

$$F_t = - \int (v f_x + E f_v) dv = - \partial_x \int v f dv.$$

Integrate this formula in x over $|x| < R$:

$$\partial_t \int_{|x| < R} F(t, x) dx = - \int_{|x| < R} \partial_x \int v f dv dx = - \int v f(t, R, v) dv + \int v f(t, -R, v) dv.$$

Call

$$j_f(t, x) = \int v f(t, x, v) dv.$$

Then $j_f(t, x)$ is boundedly integrable over all x by the energy. Next we compute

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \left[\int_{|x| < R} F(t, x) dx \right]^4 &= 4 \left[\int_{|x| < R} F(t, x) dx \right]^3 \int_{|x| < R} F_t(t, x) dx \\ &= 4 \left[\int_{|x| < R} F(t, x) dx \right]^3 [-j_f(t, R) + j_f(t, -R)]. \end{aligned}$$

For $0 < R_1 < R_2$ integrate this in R over $[R_1, R_2]$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{dt} \int_{R_1}^{R_2} \left[\int_{|x| < R} F(t, x) dx \right]^4 dR &= 4 \int_{R_1}^{R_2} \left[\int_{|x| < R} F(t, x) dx \right]^3 [-j_f(t, R) + j_f(t, -R)] dR \\
&\leq 4 \left[\int_{|x| < R_2} F(t, x) dx \right]^3 \int_{R_1}^{R_2} |-j_f(t, R) + j_f(t, -R)| dR \\
&\leq c \left[\int_{|x| < R_2} F(t, x) dx \right]^3
\end{aligned}$$

for some constant c depending only on the data. For $t_2 > t_1 > 1$ multiply this by $t - t_1$ and integrate in t over $[t_1, t_2]$:

$$\int_{t_1}^{t_2} (t - t_1) \partial_t \int_{R_1}^{R_2} \left[\int_{|x| < R} F(t, x) dx \right]^4 dR dt \leq c \int_{t_1}^{t_2} (t - t_1) \left[\int_{|x| < R_2} F(t, x) dx \right]^3 dt.$$

Integrating the left side by parts we get

$$(t_2 - t_1) \int_{R_1}^{R_2} \left[\int_{|x| < R} F(t_2, x) dx \right]^4 dR - \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{R_1}^{R_2} \left[\int_{|x| < R} F(t, x) dx \right]^4 dR dt.$$

Now take $t_2 = t$, $t_1 = t - 1$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{R_1}^{R_2} \left[\int_{|x| < R} F(t, x) dx \right]^4 dR &\leq \int_{t-1}^t \int_{R_1}^{R_2} \left[\int_{|x| < R} F(t, x) dx \right]^4 dR dt \\
&\quad + c \int_{t-1}^t (t - t_1) \left[\int_{|x| < R_2} F(t, x) dx \right]^3 dt \\
(3.4) \qquad &\leq \int_{t-1}^t (R_2 - R_1) \left[\int_{|x| < R_2} F(t, x) dx \right]^4 dt \\
&\quad + c \int_{t-1}^t \left[\int_{|x| < R_2} F(t, x) dx \right]^3 dt.
\end{aligned}$$

Now take $R_2 = 2R_1 = 2R$ say. Then the left side of (3.4) is bounded below by

$$(R_2 - R_1) \left[\int_{|x| < R_1} F(t, x) dx \right]^4 = R \left[\int_{|x| < R} F(t, x) dx \right]^4$$

and we claim that the right side tends to 0 as $t \rightarrow \infty$. This is clear for the first term on the right of (3.4) by use of (3.3). The second term goes to 0, as well, since

$$\int_{t-1}^t \left[\int_{|x| < R_2} F(t, x) dx \right]^3 dt \leq \left(\int_{t-1}^t \left[\int_{|x| < R_2} F(t, x) dx \right]^4 dt \right)^{3/4} \cdot \left(\int_{t-1}^t dt \right)^{1/4}.$$

The same computation establishes the estimate for G , and the result now follows in the classical case.

The proof for the relativistic case is similar. From above we know that

$$\int_0^\infty \left(\int F(t, x)^{\frac{7}{4}} dx \right)^4 dt < \infty.$$

By the Hölder inequality

$$\int_{|x|<R} F(t, x) dx \leq c_R \left(\int F^{\frac{7}{4}}(t, x) dx \right)^{\frac{4}{7}}$$

and therefore

$$\int_0^\infty \left[\int_{|x|<R} F(t, x) dx \right]^7 dt < \infty.$$

Using the Vlasov equation (1.3) for f we have

$$F_t = - \int (\hat{v} f_x + E f_v) dv = - \partial_x \int \hat{v} f dv.$$

Integrate this formula in x over $|x| < R$:

$$\partial_t \int_{|x|<R} F(t, x) dx = - \int_{|x|<R} \partial_x \int \hat{v} f dv dx = - \int \hat{v} f(t, R, v) dv + \int \hat{v} f(t, -R, v) dv.$$

Call

$$j_f^r(t, x) = \int \hat{v} f(t, x, v) dv.$$

Then $j_f^r(t, x)$ is boundedly integrable over all x by the mass bound. Next we compute

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \left[\int_{|x|<R} F(t, x) dx \right]^7 &= 7 \left[\int_{|x|<R} F(t, x) dx \right]^6 \int_{|x|<R} F_t(t, x) dx \\ &= 7 \left[\int_{|x|<R} F(t, x) dx \right]^6 [-j_f^r(t, R) + j_f^r(t, -R)]. \end{aligned}$$

The proof now concludes exactly as in the classical case.

□

4 Time Decay of Electric Field

We conclude the paper with results concerning the time integrability and decay of the electric field for both the classical and relativistic systems, (1.1) and (1.3).

Theorem 4.1 *Let the assumptions of Theorem 3.1 hold and consider solutions f, g to either (1.1) or (1.3). Then*

$$\int_0^\infty \|E(t)\|_\infty^3 dt < \infty.$$

Proof: This will follow immediately from the result in Theorem 2.1 that

$$Q(t) := \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} E^2(t, x) [F(t, x) + G(t, x)] dx$$

is integrable in time. Indeed by the equation $E_x = \rho = \int (f - g) dv = F - G$, we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} E^3 = 3E^2 \rho = 3E^2 (F - G).$$

Integrate in x to get

$$E^3(t, x) = \int_{-\infty}^x 3E^2(F - G) dx$$

so that

$$(4.1) \quad |E(t, x)|^3 \leq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} 3E^2(F + G) dx = 3Q(t)$$

and the result follows as claimed. □

Our final results will show that for solutions to the classical VP system (1.1) and RVP system (1.3), the electric field E tends to 0 in the maximum norm.

Theorem 4.2 *Let the assumptions of Theorem 3.1 hold and consider solutions f, g to the classical VP system (1.1). Then*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|E(t)\|_{\infty} = 0.$$

Proof: We will show that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} Q(t) = 0.$$

The conclusion will then follow from (4.1). Since $Q(t)$ is integrable over $[0, \infty)$, $\liminf Q(t) = 0$. Therefore, there is a sequence t_n tending to infinity such that $Q(t_n) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. As above, we denote

$$F(t, x) = \int f(t, x, v) dv, \quad G(t, x) = \int g(t, x, v) dv.$$

Using $E_x = \rho = F - G$ and $E_t = -j = -\int v(f - g) dv$ we first compute

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dQ}{dt} &= -2 \int jE(F + G) dx + \int E^2 \partial_t (F + G) dx \\ &= -2 \int jE(F + G) dx - \int E^2 \partial_x \int v(f + g) dv dx \\ &= -2 \int jE(F + G) dx + 2 \int \rho E \int v(f + g) dv dx. \end{aligned}$$

Now, E is uniformly bounded because by definition in (1.1),

$$|E(t, x)| \leq \int_{-\infty}^x (F + G)(t, x) dx \leq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (F + G)(t, x) dx \leq \text{const.}$$

where the last inequality follows by conservation of mass. Therefore

$$\left| \frac{dQ}{dt} \right| \leq c \int (F + G) \int |v|(f + g) dv dx.$$

Define e to be the kinetic energy density,

$$e(t, x) := \int v^2(f + g) dv.$$

Then in the usual manner we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int |v|(f + g) dv &= \int_{|v| < R} |v|(f + g) dv + \int_{|v| > R} |v|(f + g) dv \\ &\leq \|f + g\|_{\infty} \cdot R^2 + R^{-1}e \\ &\leq c(R^2 + R^{-1}e). \end{aligned}$$

Choosing $R^3 = e$ we find that

$$\int |v|(f + g) dv \leq ce^{\frac{2}{3}}(t, x)$$

and therefore

$$(4.2) \quad \left| \frac{dQ}{dt} \right| \leq c \int (F + G) e^{\frac{2}{3}} dx \leq c \left(\int (F + G)^3 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{3}}$$

by the Hölder inequality and the bound on kinetic energy from Section 2. By interpolation, for suitable functions w ,

$$\|w\|_3 \leq \|w\|_1^{\theta} \cdot \|w\|_4^{1-\theta}$$

where

$$\frac{1}{3} = \frac{\theta}{1} + \frac{1-\theta}{4}.$$

Therefore $\theta = \frac{1}{9}$. Apply this to $w = F + G$ and use the boundedness of $F + G$ in L^1 to get

$$\|F + G\|_3 \leq c \|F + G\|_4^{\frac{8}{9}}.$$

Using this above we conclude that

$$\left| \frac{dQ}{dt} \right| \leq c \|F + G\|_4^{\frac{8}{9}}.$$

From Theorem 3.1 we know that $\int (F^4 + G^4) dx$ is integrable in time. Thus $\left| \frac{dQ}{dt} \right|^{\frac{9}{2}}$ is integrable in time. Now, for any $0 < R_1 < R_2$ write

$$Q(R_2)^{\frac{16}{9}} - Q(R_1)^{\frac{16}{9}} = \frac{16}{9} \int_{R_1}^{R_2} Q(t)^{\frac{7}{9}} \dot{Q}(t) dt.$$

By the Hölder inequality again, with $p = \frac{9}{7}$ and $q = \frac{9}{2}$,

$$\left| Q(R_2)^{\frac{16}{9}} - Q(R_1)^{\frac{16}{9}} \right| \leq c \left(\int_{R_1}^{R_2} Q(t) dt \right)^{7/9} \cdot \left(\int_{R_1}^{R_2} |\dot{Q}(t)|^{\frac{9}{2}} dt \right)^{\frac{2}{9}} \rightarrow 0$$

as $R_1, R_2 \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore the limit

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} Q(R)^{\frac{16}{9}}$$

exists and equals ω , say. By taking $R = t_n$ and letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ we get $\omega = 0$. This concludes the proof. □

Theorem 4.3 *Let the assumptions of Theorem 2.1 hold and consider solutions f, g to the relativistic VP system (1.3). Then, also in this case*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|E(t)\|_{\infty} = 0.$$

Proof: As is to be expected, the proof is similar to that of Theorem 4.2. From Theorem 2.1 we have again that $Q(t)$ is integrable in time, where exactly as in the non-relativistic case

$$Q(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} E^2(t, x) [F(t, x) + G(t, x)] dx.$$

In this situation we have $\rho = \int (f - g) dv$ and (with $m = 1$) $j = \int \hat{v}(f - g) dv$ where $\hat{v} = \frac{v}{\sqrt{1+v^2}}$ so that $|\hat{v}| < 1$. The computation of the derivative in time is now

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dQ}{dt} &= -2 \int j E (F + G) dx + \int E^2 \partial_t (F + G) dx \\ &= -2 \int j E (F + G) dx - \int E^2 \partial_x \int \hat{v} (f + g) dv dx \\ &= -2 \int j E (F + G) dx + 2 \int \rho E \int \hat{v} (f + g) dv dx. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\left| \frac{dQ}{dt} \right| \leq c \int |E| (F + G)^2 dx \leq c \int (F + G)^2 dx$$

because E is uniformly bounded. Call e the relativistic kinetic energy density,

$$e(t, x) = \int \sqrt{1 + v^2} (f + g) dv.$$

Then as above

$$\begin{aligned} F + G &= \int (f + g) dv \\ &= \int_{|v| < R} (f + g) dv + \int_{|v| > R} (f + g) dv \\ &\leq \|f + g\|_{\infty} \cdot 2R + R^{-1}e \\ &\leq c(R + R^{-1}e). \end{aligned}$$

Hence with $R^2 = e$ we find that $F + G \leq ce^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Thus we see that

$$\left| \frac{dQ}{dt} \right| \leq c \int e \, dx \leq c.$$

In view of Remark 1 below then, $Q(t) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ which implies the result for E as in the classical case. □

Remarks:

1. Once $Q(t)$ is integrable in time, the uniform boundedness of $\left| \frac{dQ}{dt} \right|$ also implies that $Q(t) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. The estimate (4.2) provides the desired bound in the classical case because $(F + G)^3$ is dominated by the energy integral in this situation.

2. For solutions to (1.1) or (1.3), using interpolation with Theorem 4.2 or Theorem 4.3, and the bound on $\|E(t)\|_2$ from energy conservation we find

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|E(t)\|_p = 0$$

for any $p > 2$.

3. We have been unable to find a rate of decay for the maximum norm of E . For solutions to the classical Vlasov–Poisson system in three space dimensions such a rate follows from differentiating in time an expression essentially of the form

$$\iint |x - tv|^2 (f + g) \, dv \, dx$$

(cf. [7], [9]). This estimate fails to imply time decay in the current one-dimensional case.

4. An identity similar to that in the proof of Theorem 2.1 holds for solutions to the “one and one-half-dimensional” Vlasov–Maxwell system. However we have been unable to show that certain terms arising from the linear parts of the differential operators have the proper sign.

5. As stated in the introduction, such decay theorems should be true for several species under the hypothesis of neutrality. However we have been unable to achieve this generalization for more than two species.

6. After suitable approximation, these results can be seen to be valid for weak solutions as well.

References

- [1] Batt, J.; Kunze, M.; and Rein, G., On the asymptotic behavior of a one-dimensional, monocharged plasma and a rescaling method. *Advances in Differential Equations* **1998**, 3:271-292.

- [2] Burgan, J.R.; Feix, M.R.; Fijalkow, E.; Munier, A., Self-similar and asymptotic solutions for a one-dimensional Vlasov beam. *J. Plasma Physics* **1983**, 29:139-142.
- [3] Desvillettes, L. and Dolbeault, J., On long time asymptotics of the Vlasov–Poisson–Boltzmann equation. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* **1991**, 16(2-3):451-489.
- [4] Dolbeault, J. Time-dependent rescalings and Lyapunov functionals for some kinetic and fluid models. *Proceedings of the Fifth International Workshop on Mathematical Aspects of Fluid and Plasma Dynamics* (Maui, HI, 1998). *Transport Theory Statist. Phys.* **2000**, 29(3-5): 537-549.
- [5] Dolbeault, J. and Rein, G. Time-dependent rescalings and Lyapunov functionals for the Vlasov-Poisson and Euler-Poisson systems, and for related models of kinetic equations, fluid dynamics and quantum physics. *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.* **2001**, 11(3):407-432.
- [6] Glassey, R. and Strauss, W., Remarks on collisionless plasmas. *Contemporary Mathematics* **1984**, 28:269-279
- [7] Illner, R. and Rein, G., Time decay of the solutions of the Vlasov-Poisson system in the plasma physical case. *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.* **1996**, 19:1409-1413.
- [8] Lions, P.L. and Perthame, B. Propagation of moments and regularity for the three dimensional Vlasov-Poisson system. *Invent. Math.* **1991**, 105:415-430.
- [9] Perthame, B. Time decay, propagation of low moments and dispersive effects for kinetic equations. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* **1996**, 21(3-4):659-686.
- [10] Pfaffelmoser, K., Global classical solution of the Vlasov-Poisson system in three dimensions for general initial data. *J. Diff. Eq.* **1992**, 95(2):281-303.
- [11] Schaeffer, J. Large-time behavior of a one-dimensional monocharged plasma. *Diff. and Int. Equations* **2007**, 20(3):277-292.